# Bloodstream infections in the Cardiovascular Surgery Clinic Iași, Romania

Infecțiile sistemice în Clinica de Chirugie Cardiovasculară din Iași, România

Eduard Dabija<sup>1,2</sup>, Maria Dan<sup>1\*</sup>, Daniela Bosnea<sup>2</sup>, Rucsandra Danciulescu Miulescu<sup>3</sup>, Vasilica Cristescu<sup>3</sup>, Carmen Panzaru<sup>1,2</sup>, Grigore Tinica<sup>1,2</sup>

Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases Prof Dr George IM Georgescu, Iasi, Romania
University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Iasi, Romania
Institute of Diabetes, Nutrition and Metabolic Diseases Prof Dr N Paulescu, Bucuresti, Romania

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** to analyze the aetiology, the origin, epidemiologic features and outcome of bloodstream infections in patients that underwent cardiovascular surgery. **Methods:** A retrospective study of bloodstream infections was conducted between  $27^{th}$  April 2001 – 26 June 2010 in the Cardiovascular Surgery Clinic of Iasi, Romania. **Results:** We detected 114 consecutive bloodstream infections in a number of 4304 hospitalizations. Bacteraemia was secondary to an infectious body site in 53.50% of the episodes. Cell-wall deficient forms (52.63%) were the most prevalent microorganisms (78 strains, out of which 53 reverted to the classical state and 25 were L – stable), followed by Gram-positive cocci, Gram-negative bacilli, Gram-positive bacilli, fungi, HACEK group and anaerobes. **Conclusions:** We found a high proportion of cell wall deficient forms, rarely reported before. This may be due to blood culture collection under antimicrobial therapy (p=0.016), especially  $\beta$ -lactams, and/or the use of more adequate blood culture methods (acridine orange stain for microscopy of the blood culture and reversion media). The therapy of the infections with cell wall deficient forms raises particular problems. For systemic infections associations of chloramphenicol + spiramycin, erythromycin + cephalotin, chloramphenicol + tetracyclin could be adequate treatment, but currently we are collecting more data in order to establish therapeutic protocols.

*Keywords:* nosocomial bloodstream infections, L-forms, cell wall deficient forms, acridine-orange stain, blood culture, cardiovascular surgery, bacteremia, infective endocarditis.

#### Rezumat

**Obiective:** analiza etiologiei, originii, caracteristicilor epidemiologice și rezultatului infecțiilor sistemice la pacienții care au suferit intervenții chirugicale cardiovasculare. **Metode:** analiza retrospectivă a infecțiilor sistemice, între 27 aprilie 2001 – 26 iunie 2010, în Clinica de Chirurgie Cardiovasculară din Iași, România. **Rezultate:** am identificat 114 infecții sistemice consecutive la un număr de 4304 spitalizări. Originea bacteriemiei a fost depistată în 53,50% din cazuri. Bacteriile cu perete defectiv (52,53%) au reprezentat cele mai frecvente izolate (78 izolate, din care 53 au revenit la forma de creștere normală iar 25 au rămas forme L stabile), urmate de

\***Corresponding author:** Maria Dan, MD, Ph.D, Strapungerii Silvestru nr 3. L7, ap 19, Iasi, Romania. Tel. 0722552188, E-mail: maria.v.dan@gmail.com

coci gram-pozitivi, bacili gram-negativi, bacili gram-pozitivi, fungi, grupul bacterian HACEK și anaerobi. **Con**cluzii: am depistat o proporție crescută de bacterii cu perete defectiv, rar comunicate în studiile de specialitate. Acest fapt se poate datora recoltării hemoculturilor sub antibioticoterapie (p = 0,016), în special cu  $\beta$ -lactamine, și/sau folosirii unor metode mai adecvate pentru hemocultură (microscopia din hemoculturi utilizând acridine orange și utilizarea mediilor de reversie). Terapia infecțiilor sistemice cu bacterii cu perete defectiv ridică probleme particulare; pentru infecțiile sistemice asocierile cloramfenicol + spiramicina, eritromicina + cefalotin, cloramfenicol + tetraciclina ar putea reprezenta opțiuni terapeutice, dar sunt necesare mai multe date pentru a stabili protocoale terapeutice adecvate.

*Cuvinte cheie:* infecții sistemice, forme L, bacterii cu perete defectiv, colorația cu acridine orange, hemoculturi, chirurgie cardiovasculară, bacteriemie, endocardită.

### Introduction

Debilitating conditions of the patients undergoing cardiac surgery predispose these patients to life threatening bloodstream infections (1-3). The treatment of bloodstream infections and especially nosocomial ones has become a challenging task due to the spread of multiresistant bacteria. The profile of nosocomial bloodstream infections varies between institutions and also between various wards of the same hospital. It is important to know the pathogens involved in nosocomial bacteraemia and their antimicrobial sensitivity to formulate appropriate treatment guidelines. Since information available from our country on the subject is limited, we conducted this study to obtain data on pathogens causing bloodstream infections in a cardio-vascular surgery ward of a regional hospital in north Romania.

We made a retrospective study of the bloodstream infections in the Cardiovascular Surgery Clinic (50 beds), which includes an Intensive Care Unit as well (12 beds), of the Cardiovascular Diseases Institute Iasi, Romania. Clinical, epidemiological and laboratory data were recorded in standardized files and saved in an electronic database.

# **Materials & Methods**

# Definitions

*Nosocomial bacteremia*: according to CDC criteria (*Center for Disease Control and Prevention* from 1988 (4), nosocomial bacteraemia is defined as microbiologically confirmed

bacteraemia that occurs after 72 hours from admission, excepting readmitted cases (that occurred in 72 hours from a previous discharge).

*Infective endocarditis*: Cases were classified according to the new diagnostic criteria for infective endocarditis proposed by Durack et al (12) and only probable or definite cases of endocarditis were included.

*Nosocomial infective endocarditis*: The acquisition of IE was considered nosocomial if the diagnosis was made 72 h after hospital admission and if there was no evidence that IE was present at the time of admission. Diagnoses made within 60 days of a previous hospital admission were also classified as nosocomial, or when a risk procedure for bacteraemia was performed, or when any predisposing factor for IE was present during hospitalization.

*Revertant:* cell wall deficient form that is capable of reverting to the classical stage. Sometimes only microscopic reversion occurs and the bacterium remains uncultivable on usual solid media (5).

*Stable L-form*: cell wall deficient form that is not capable of reverting to the classical stage and cannot grow on usual solid media (5).

*Negative blood culture*: the lack of microscopic evidence of growth and the absence of colonies on solid media.

*Clinical significance of positive blood cultures* was assessed after considering several factors: the identity of the microorganism (6-8), the number of positive blood culture sets (7, 9, 10), the time necessary for microbial growth to occur (10, 11), documented infection with the same microorganism at another body site (12, 13), clinical state of the patient (including predisposing risk factors, inflammatory variables - plasma C-reactive protein level, plasma procalcitonin level and therapeutic proof) and imagistic tests (X-ray, computed tomographic scan).

# Microbiological examination of blood cultures

Blood culture media. We used biphasic media (Hemoline Performance Duo bioMeriéux, France) with visual growth control.

Blood cultures examination. We incubated blood culture bottles at 37°C for 48 hours and examined visually for evidence of growth (haemolysis, gas production, turbidity or detection of certain bacterial colonies on agar slide) during the first 48 hours. Visually positive blood culture bottles were subcultured on Columbia agar (5% sheep blood) and Sabouraud-dextrose agar. We identified the isolates based on preliminary data of bacterial isolates and then we used API bio-Mérieux system for identification. Antibiotic susceptibility tests were done by disk diffusion method, according to recommendations of the National Committee for Laboratory Standards/Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (NCCLS/CLSI): 2001-2010 and miniAPI bioMérieux kits. Screening for methicillin resistance in staphylococci, vancomycin resistance (breakpoints method, using ATB STAPH) and high level aminoglycoside resistance (HLAR) in enterococci and extended spectrum β-lactamase (ESBL) production in Gram-negative bacteria was done according to NCCLS/CLSI guidelines (2001-2010).

If there were no macroscopic evidence of growth in the first 48 hours, we made blind subcultures and acridine orange staining. When L-forms were detected on wet mount with acridine orange (*Figures 1, 2, 3, 4*) we used 2 reversion media (13, 27) as follows: "medium 1" (brain heart broth – 3.5g, yeast extract – 0.5g, NaCl – 0.2g, distilled water – 100mL) and "medium 2" (trypticase soy broth – 3g, yeast extract – 0.2g, sucrose – 10g, agar – 0.05g, distilled water – 87mL). We transferred 1 mL from the blood culture to medium 1. After 48-72 hours we made subcultures from "medium 1"

into "medium 2" (200µL per tube) and Columbia agar with 0.2% NaCl.

Blood cultures were incubated for 14-21 days and on the final day Columbia agar (with 5% sheep blood) was used for terminal subculture.

# Results

During the 10 years of the study period, there were 4304 admissions in our hospital. A total of 662 patients presented signs for bloodstream infection. From these patients 1335 blood culture sets were collected (2 sets on average per patient). The positivity rate was 25.61%.

We detected 114 bloodstream infections out of which 105 were nosocomial and 9 were community acquired based on epidemiological criteria. The mean age of patients was 50 years (range between 2 and 70), involving 71.05% males. Systemic infections were secondary to an infectious body site in 53.5% of the cases: catheter-related (25 cases, 21.92%), wounds (12 cases, 10.52%), lower respiratory tract (11 cases, 9.64%), infective endocarditis (10 cases, 8.77%, 4 nosocomial infective endocarditis and 6 community acquired), urinary tract infections (3 cases, 2.63%). From the wound infections, only 2 cases (1.75%) represented infections contracted post-surgery in the Cardiovascular Surgery Clinic; the rest (10 cases, 8.77%) were pre-surgery infections (gangrenes of the legs, trophic ulcers, post-amputation wounds or other interventions in different services). The mortality rate was 25.33%.

Contaminated blood cultures represented 4.41% out of the total examined blood cultures.

Gram-positive cocci were the most frequent isolates (35 strains, 30.70%), followed by Gram-negative bacilli (30 strains, 26.31%), irreversible L-forms (25 strains, 21.92%), Grampositive bacilli (11 strains, 9.65%), fungi (9 strains, 7.89%), HACEK group (3 strains, 2.63%), anaerobes (1 strains, 0.87%) (*Table 1*).

From all isolates, cell-wall deficient forms represented 52.63% - 78 strains, out of

which 53 returned to their typical morphology (16 Gram-negative bacilli, 12 Gram-positive cocci, 6 Gram-positive bacilli, 1 anaerobe) and 25 were L-stable forms, without reversion to the normal bacterial growth.

The proportion of polymicrobial episodes was 2.66% (*Staphylococcus aureus* + *Haemophilus paraphrophilus* and *H. parainfluenzae* + *Enterococcus faecalis*).

We isolated 13 strains of methicillin-resistant staphylococci representing 50% of all staphylococci. In case of S. *aureus* 8 strains (44.4%), while in case of coagulase negative staphylococci 5 strains (62.5%) were methicillin-resistant. No staphylococci with reduced susceptibility to vancomycin were detected with the methods we used. All 5 strains of *Enterococcus* spp. isolated by us were sensitive to vancomycin. HLAR phenotype was detected in 2 strains.

Among *Enterobacteriaceae* strains, 41.17% were ESBL producers (7 out of 17), 58.83% were susceptible to fluoroquinolones (10 strains) and 47.05% were susceptile to aminoglycosides (sensitive to both gentamicin and amikacin).

One of the *Acinetobacter baumannii* strains was susceptible only to colistin, the rest presented susceptibility to carbapenems (4 strains out of 4), fluoroquinolones (1 strain), aminoglycosides (3 strains susceptible to gentamicin and amikacin).

All 3 strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were susceptible to carbapenems and fluoroquinolones and only 2 strains were susceptible to ceftazidime.



Figure 1. Acridine orange staining, smear from blood culture (magnification 400x): A. L-forms; arrows indicate leucocytes. B. Cocci. C. Bacilli



**Figure 2.** *Escherichia coli.* A. Smear from blood culture (Gram stain, magnification 1000x): 1. Gram negative bacilli; 2. L – forms. B. Smear from culture on solid media (Gram stain, magnification 1000x)

### Discussions

Patients undergoing cardiac surgery appear to be at increased risk for the development of nosocomial bacteremia due to the presence of surgical wounds, intravascular devices and the antibiotic therapy/prophylaxis from the post-surgery period (1-3).

According to Kollef and coworkers, approximately 98.5% (1) of patients received antibiotics for surgical wound prophylaxis in the perioperative period. Those who did not receive antibiotics in the perioperative period presented increased risk of developing surgical wound infections. The empirical administration of antibiotics precedes the development of nosocomial infec-

tions in 93.6% of the cases (1). In our study, 85.08% of the blood cultures were collected from patients who had already received antibiotics. The consequence of this practice was the increased isolation of cell wall deficient forms. The therapy of nosocomial bacteraemia represents new challenges because of the increased resistance of microorganisms. The proportion of S. aureus resistant to methicillin in the USA increased from 22% in 1995 to 57% in 2001 (16), and in Europe a similar increase has been noticed (15). In both studies, the proportion of coagulase-negative staphylococci resistant to methicillin was 75%. The percentage of strains of Enterococcus faecalis resistant to vancomycin was 2% and for those of E. faecium 60% (15, 16).



**Figure 3.** *Actinomyces pyogenes.* A. Smear from blood culture (Gram stain, magnification 1000x); B. Microculture (magnification 30x); C. Smear from microculture (Gram stain, magnification 1000x)



**Figure 4.** *Haemophilus aprophilus.* A. Smear from blood culture (Gram stain, magnification 1000x); B. Reversion medium; C. Smear from reversion medium (Gram stain, magnification 1000x)

Microorganism	No. of cases	%
	<u>n=114</u>	
Gram-positive cocci	35(12)	30.7
Saureus	18(6)	15.78
Coagulase-negative staphylococci <sup>1</sup>	8(4)	6.66
Enterococcus spp <sup>2</sup>	5(1)	4
Oral streptococci <sup>3</sup>	3(1)	2.63
Streptococcus pneumoniae	1	0.87
Gram-negative bacilli	30(16)	26.31
Klebsiella pneumoniae	9(7)	4
Acinetobacter spp <sup>4</sup>	6(4)	5.26
Enterobacter cloacae	6(1)	5.26
Proteus mirabilis	1 (1)	0.87
Escherichia coli	1	0.87
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	3(1)	2.63
Stenotrophomonas maltophillia	3(2)	2.63
Irreversible L-forms	25	21.92
Gram-positive bacilli	11(6)	9.65
Corynebacterium group G	3(1)	2.63
C. jeikeium	2	1.75
Actinomyces pyogenes	2 (2)	1.75
C. afermentans	1(1)	0.87
C. glucuronolyticum	1(1)	0.87
Rhodococcus spp	1(1)	0.87
Unidentified Gram-positive bacilli	1	0.87
Fungi	9	7.89
Candida albicans	4	3.50
Candida spp <sup>5</sup>	3	2.63
Rhodotorula glutinis	1	0.87
Aspergillus fumigatus	1	0.87
HACEK	3	2.63
H. parainfluenzae	2	1.74
Haemophilus aphrophilus	1	0.87
Anaerobes	1(1)	0.87
Clostridium tertium	1(1)	0.87

Table 1. Microorganisms with clinical significance isolated from blood cultures

<sup>1</sup> S epidermidis 3, S haemolyticus 1, S warneri 1

<sup>2</sup> E faecalis 4, E hirae 1

<sup>3</sup> S oralis 1, S constellatus 1, untypable Streptococcus 1

<sup>4</sup> A baumannii 2, Acinetobacter junii/johnsonii 4

<sup>5</sup> C norvegica 1, C valida 1, C inconspicua 1

() = isolates identified through the reversion of the initial L-forms

During our study (10 years) we found relatively few systemic infections (only 114) so that we can not make an adequate statistical processing of data on susceptibility to antibiotics, but our results are important for local epidemiological purposes.

Generally, antibiotics inhibiting cell wall structures are not active against L-forms. Correspondingly, antibiotics which interrupt protein synthesis are inhibitive to the variant if the parent bacterium is sensitive. However, exceptions are numerous. Antibiotics considered metabolic inhibitors, which are exquisitely toxic to the parent bacterium, may be tolerated by the variant, for inexplicable reasons. In the therapy of infections where L-forms are found, it may be necessary to treat both the wall deficient stage and the classical organisms since the suppression of one stage may foster the propagation of the other (17). For systemic infections associations of chloramphenicol + spiramycin, erythromycin + cephalotin, chloramphenicol + tetracycline could be adequate treatment (17).

From the treatment perspective of bacteraemia with L-forms, we encountered two particular situations.

In the first case, the 56 year old patient, RV, with a mitral disease was diagnosed with infective endocarditis. All three sets of collected blood cultures yielded cell wall deficient forms, irreversible to the normal growth. At the moment blood cultures were collected, the patient received empirical antibiotic therapy with ceftriaxone. After the laboratory informed the physician of the presence of L-forms, the treatment of the patient was changed to glycopeptide +  $\beta$ -lactam antibiotic, according to recommendations from the literature (18). Within this therapy the clinical state of the patient improved and she was released.

The second was the case of the 48 years old patient LP, diagnosed with mediastinitis after cardiac surgery performed abroad. From the mediastinal liquid and the blood cultures methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* was isolated. Beside bacteria with normal morphology, the microscopic examination of blood cultures highlighted bacteria with a defective cell wall. The patient received therapy with vancomycine, but his clinical state did not improve and the *S. aureus* continued to grow in large amounts from the mediastinal liquid. After communicating the L-forms in blood cultures, the therapy was changed and the patient was treated with linezolid. After this therapeutic decision, the patient progressed well and was released fully recovered.

In a national program of supervising nosocomial systemic infections in Belgium (4), the origin of bacteremia was detected in 63.80% and the main causes for infections were: catheter related (23.5%), urinary tract (11.6%), lower respiratory tract (9.1%), wounds infections (5.6%). In our study a portal of entry for bacteremias was detected in 60% of the cases. The most frequent site was represented by catheters (21,92%), followed by wounds (10.52%; the majority without causal relation with the present surgery), lower respiratory tract (9.69%) and urinary tract (2.63%). The increased frequency of endocarditis as a source for bacteremia can be a consequence of the particularities of our group of patients (cardiovascular surgery patients).

In the case of revertants we detected the origin of bacteraemia in 27 patients (catheter-13, lower respiratory tract-5, wounds-5, infective endocarditis-4). In all these situations the patients had received antibiotic therapy before the specimens were collected.

We encountered a *particular situation* in the case of the patient TM, 49 years old, diagnosed with an infective endocarditis caused by *Candida albicans*. The fungus was isolated from blood and from the piece of valve. After communicating the isolate from the blood culture, the patient was given fluconazol, according to the antibiotic susceptibility tests and 3 sets of blood cultures collected afterwards isolated cell wall deficient forms irreversible to normal growth. The smears made in the reversion media showed fungi, but these did not grow on solid media, therefore we could not identify them or perform susceptibility testing.

In 2004, a study made in the USA (16) carried out during a period of 7 years the most frequent etiological agents of nosocomial bacteraemia were the coagulase negative staphylococci (31%), *S aureus* (20%), enteroccoci (9%), *Candida spp* (9%). The Gram-negative bacteria and the anaerobe ones were isolated in small percentages: E coli (2.8%), Klebsiella spp (2.4%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (2.1%), Enterobacter spp (1.9%), anaerobes (1%). Other studies confirmed, as well, the emergence of Gram-positive pathogens (4, 19, 20) and especially of the coagulase negative staphylococci, S aureus and enterococci. In the adult patients post cardiovascular surgery, the frequency of isolates was: Grampositive cocci, Gram-negative bacilli, Candida spp (21, 22). In the group studied by us, the most frequent isolates were also the Gram-positive cocci, but the second place was occupied by Gram-negative bacilli, followed by the irreversible L-forms, the Gram-positive bacilli, fungi, HACEK group and the anaerobes.

We noticed an increased percentage of Gram-positive bacilli (9.65%) isolate and this can be explained through the fact that more than half of these bacilli derive from the reversion of the initial L-forms. Lately, the coryne-form bacteria were more and more involved in infections: infective endocarditis on native or prosthetic valves, catheter-related bacteremias, infections of the lower respiratory tract (22-24, 26). In case of Gram-positive bacilli isolated in our study we identified the portal of entry in 7 cases out of 11 (infective endocarditis – 3, catheter-related – 3, lower respiratory tract - 1).

In **conclusion**, we consider that more reproducible methods should be developed for the isolation and identification of L-forms. Many techniques currently used today are classical methods of the past century but these methods no longer satisfy the needs of modern medicine. Future clinical studies should include: the detection of L forms in different pathological samples, the identification of these L forms through fast molecular methods; studying the ongoing changes of the inflammatory response of the host, the antibiotic therapy should eliminate both types of bacterial populations (in normal stage and in L-forms stage). Acknowledgements. We thank Dr Dumitru Buiuc, MD, PhD, Professor Emeritus for reviewing the manuscript and for his scientific guidelines.

**Funding.** This work was partially supported by CNCSIS grant 1219.

**Conflicts of interest:** no competing interest declared.

Ethical approval: not required.

#### References

1. Kollef MH, Sharpless L, Vlasnik J, Pasque C, Murphy D, Victoria J. Fraser. The Impact of Nosocomial Infections on Patient Outcomes Following Cardiac Surgery. CHEST. 1997; 112: 666-675.

2. Suljagic V, Cobeljic M, Jankovic S, Mirović V, Marković-Denić L, Romić P, Mikić D. . Nosocomial bloodstream infections in ICU and non-ICU patients. Am J Infect Control. 2005; 33: 333-340.

3. Lomtadze M, Chkhaidze M, Mgeladze E, Metreveli I, Tsintsadze A. Incidence and risk factors of nosocomial infections after cardiac surgery in Georgian population with congenital heart diseases. Georgian Med News. 2010; (178):7-11.

4. Ronveaux O, Jans B, Suetens C, Carasauw 7H. Epidemiology of Bloodstream infections in Belgium, 1992-1996. Eur J Clin Infect Dis. 1998; 17: 695-700.

5. Mattman LH. Definitions. Mattman LH, In: Cell wall deficient forms. Stealth Pathogens, ed CRC Press, 3rd ed. 2001; 9-11.

6. Weinstein MP. Blood Culture Contamination: Persisting Problems and Partial Progress. J Clin Microbiol. 2003; 41 (6): 2275-2278.

7. MacGregor RR, Beaty HN. Evaluation of positive blood cultures: guidelines for early differentiation of contaminated from valid positive cultures. Arch Intern Med. 1972; 130: 84–87.

8. Weinstein MP, Towns ML, Quartey SM. The clinical significance of positive blood cultures in 1990s: a prospective comprehensive evaluation of the microbiology, epidemiology, and outcome of bacteremia and fungemia in adults. Clin. Infect. Dis .1997; 24: 584-602.

9. Stanley M, Weinstein MP, Reimer LG, Wilson M, Reller B. Relevance of the Number of Positive Bottles in Determining Clinical Significance of Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci in Blood Cultures. J Clin Microbiol .2001; 39 (9): 3279-3281.

10. Bates DW, Lee TH. Rapid classification of positive blood cultures. Prospective validation of multivariate algorithm. JAMA. 1992; 267. (14): 1962-1966

11. Winn W, Allen SV, Janda WM, Koneman EW, Procop G. Infection of the blood; sings and simptoms. In Color Atlas and Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology, 6-th ed.; Lippincott Williams & Wilkins. 2006; 94-104.

12. Seifert H, Wisplinghoff H. Bloodstream infections and endocarditis. In Topley & Wilson's Microbiology and Microbial Infections, 10.th ed. 2006; 509-554.

13. Hirakata Y, Furuya N, Iwata M, Kashitani F, Ishikawa M,Yumoto S et al. Assessment of clinical significance of positive blood cultures of relatively low-virulence isolates. J Med Microbiol. 1996; 44: 195-198.

14. Mattman LH. Media, Methods and stains. Mattman MH, In Cell wall deficient forms: Stealth Pathogens. CRC Press, 3rd-ed. 2001; 352.

15. Gonzalo ML, Wenzel B, Wenzel RP. Bacteremias: A Leading Cause of Death. Archives of Medical Research. 2005; 36: 646-659.

16. Wisplinghoff H, Bischoff T, Tallent S, Seifert H, Wenzel R, Edmond M. Nosocomial bloodstream infections in US hospitals: analysis of 24,179 cases from a prospective nationwide surveillance study. Clin Infect Dis. 2004; 39:309–317.

17. Mattman LH. Sensitivity to Antimicrobial Agents. Mattman LH in Cell wall deficient forms: Stealth Pathogens. CRC Press, 3rd-ed. 2001; 269-276.

18. Woo P C, Wong S S, Peggy N L, Hui W, Yuen K. Cell-wall-deficient bacteria and culture-negative febrile episodes in bone-marrow-transplant recipients. Lancet. 2001; 357: 675–79.

19. Martin M, Pfaller M, Wenzel R. Coagulase-negative

staphylococcal bacteremia. Mortality and hospital stay. Ann Intern Med. 1989; 110: 9–16.

20. National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance (NNIS) System Report, Data Summary from January 1992–April 2000. Am J Infect Contr. 2000; 28:429–448.

21. Gol KM, Karahan M, Ulus AT. Bloodstream, Respiratory and Surgical Wound Infections after Open Heart Surgery. J Card Surg. 1998; 13: 252-259.

22. McClean K, Kneteman N, Taylor G. Comparative Risk of Bloodstream Infection in Organ Transplant Recipients. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology. 1994; 15 (9):582-585.

23. Funke G, Graevenitz A, Clarridge JE, Bernard KA. Clinical Microbiology of Coryneform Bacteria. Clin Microb Rev. 1997; 10 (1): 125-159.

24. Crabtree T, Aitchison D, Meyers BF, Tymkew H, Smith J, Guthrie T et al. . Clostridium difficile in cardiac surgery: risk factors and impact on postoperative outcome. Ann Thorac Surg. 2007; 83(4):1396-1402.

25. Khan NU, Farman MT, Sial JA Achakzai AS, Saghir T, Ishaq M. . Changing trends of infective endocarditis. J Pak Med Assoc. 2010; 60 (1): 24-27.

26. Belmares J, Detterline S, Pak JB, Parada JP. Corynebacterium endocarditis species-specific risk factors and outcomes. BMC Infect Dis. 2007; 7:4.

27. Dan M. Cell wall deficient forms of bacteria and fungi. Buiuc D in Clinical microbiology. Medical Publishing, Bucuresti, 3 rd-ed. 2009; 1031-1045.